|  |
| --- |
| Gallogly College of Engineering, University of Oklahoma |
| Petabyte Pirates Project Report |
| Link to Checkpoint Review Presentation  [Link to GitHub](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513) |

|  |
| --- |
| ACS 5513 – Machine Learning Practice  Summer 2025 |

# Project Charter

## Business Background

The process of home valuation is complex and multi-faceted, so much so that there are entire research firms dedicated to providing market intelligence to real estate companies, developers, and to end-users via Zillow and Redfin. **A Home Valuing Company Inc.** is a residential property valuation intelligence firm that provides data and analytics to appraisers and real-estate agents via proprietary APIs and custom-built SaaS web applications maintained by the client. The firm also provides an in-house, subscription-based web portal for homeowners to understand real estate comps based on home characteristics, as well as keep track of their home’s value over time.

## Business Problem

Underwriters use rule-of-thumb comparable and simplistic regression tools that miss nonlinear interactions between a house and its characteristics, such as neighborhoods, age, and structural components. This can create valuation errors that cost lenders & customers thousands of dollars in losses.

## Business Goal

Reduce appraisal error for residential properties by 10% to save lenders and homeowners money.

## Scope

An end-to-end ML service that ingests the Ames, IA housing CSV (~2,900 rows) from a version-controlled source and logs ingestion metadata to a notebook. Raw data is cleaned by dropping high-missing columns and taming unusually large or small values, so they don’t skew the results. We then crate 4 new measures: house age, years since last remodel, total living area and a “quality” size score and put every number on the same scale so the model can learn effectively.

Next, we split the homes into a “teach” group and “check” group. The model learns patterns from the teach group, like how square footage and overall quality relate to the price and then we confirm its accuracy on the check group, tracking how close its guesses are to real sale price.

Finally, a simple web form lets users enter home details and instantly get a price estimate. Each query is recorded in a table where you can copy any row, tweak one feature and see side-by-side of a “what-if” comparisons. All requests are logged so we can monitor its performance.

## Personnel

* Project Lead – Sean Miller
* PM – Farhan Hassan
* Data scientist(s) - Dewayne Hafenstein, Sean Miller
* Account Manager – Farhan Hassan
* Data Administrator – Sean Miller
* Business contact – Dewayne Hafenstein

## Metrics

* Predict the selling price within +/- 2% of its actual selling price 90% of the time.
* Improve the prediction of sale prices to maximize revenue for sellers and listing agents.
* The current national list-to-sale ratio is about 97% but varies by locality. In tight markets, this ratio exceeds 100% with sale prices exceeding the list price. This tool will account for these ratio differences and provide an estimate of what the actual selling price would be. The current list-to-sell pricing in Ames Iowa (2025) shows that 71.4% of the properties sell below their listing price (Zillow). The discounted rate appears to be about –1.9% on average in Ames Iowa.
* The data set will be split into training and test data. The training data will be used to create and train the initial model, and the test data will be used to verify the model's accuracy.

## Plan

**Phase 1**: Establish the problem, set our Goal(s) to accomplish, explore the data set, perform correlation analysis among the features to determine which features to focus on, and determine the target architecture.

**Phase 2**: Determine the exact model to use. At the time of Phase 1 we suspect it will be Logistic Regression or multiple linear regression. Data analysis will determine the actual algorithm used.

**Phase 3:** Operationalize a limited API and connect to a proof-of-concept web application.

## Architecture

We build on the Ames; IA housing dataset downloaded either directly via Kaggle or pulled from the Git repo and load it straight into memory with no external data movement tools. The entire solution is written in Python and deployed as two isolated Flask applications: one hosts the machine learning engine and model artifacts, and the other serves the user-facing web interface. By splitting these responsibilities, we give the model its own dedicated runtime (maximizing memory and compute for retraining or batch analysis) while shielding its complexity behind a clean RESTful API.

On the front end, an HTML/CSS/JavaScript form captures the 11 property features and submits them as JSON to the /predict end point. The backend service validates, and preprocesses inputs, runs the trained model and returns a price estimate. Each requests original inputs and predicted value are then logged to a results table in the browser.

## Communication

* The team stays in communication via Microsoft Teams, as well as virtual zoom meetings to ensure deliverables are equally distributed and progress is made across all fronts.

# Data Report

**Overview:** While the team was initially skeptical of the dataset, given its age, limited scope, and size, we were pleasantly surprised by the significant number of features and the capability to engineer new and impactful features for data modeling. Our tool of choice was Google’s Colab jupyter environment, which provides collaborative capabilities and dedicated VMs with plug-and-play runtimes suitable for speedy analysis.

**Note:** the comprehensive data report has sections that detail data quality, target variable, individual variables, rankings, and relationships. This is available in the project’s GitHub repository ([link](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md)).

### Raw Data Sources

| Dataset Name | Original Location | Destination Location | Link to Source |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ames Housing | This dataset is publicly available via Kaggle | A copy of the dataset is stored in the ACS5513 GitHub repo | Source: [Link](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/prevek18/ames-housing-dataset)  GitHub: [Link](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/AmesHousing.csv) |

The raw Ames, Iowa housing dataset is publicly available via Kaggle, and contains the sales transaction records of over 2900 real estate transactions between January 2006 and July 2010. It was chosen due to its uniquely robust inclusion of 81 features and the target variable **SalePrice**. This is of critical importance for a holistic statistical evaluation and ML modeling project.

This dataset can be either downloaded directly from Kaggle, or by using the KaggleHub component (library) in python, programmatically as part of the ML engine start up.

Applicable Data Report Sections:

* [General Summary of the Data](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#general-summary-of-the-data)

Applicable Notebook Sections:

* [Initial Imports and Data Sourcing](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=5ztGCwnPQLrE&line=1&uniqifier=1)
* [Normal Distribution Testing](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=OrHMeXNmXYXV&line=3&uniqifier=1)

### Processed Data

| Processed Dataset Name | Input Dataset(s) | Data Processing Tools/Scripts | Link to Report |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Processed Dataset 1 | Ames Housing | [acs\_5513\_petabyte\_pirates\_project\_deliverable\_1.py](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/acs_5513_petabyte_pirates_project_deliverable_1.py)  Jupyter Notebook: **Data Processing and EDA** section ([GitHub](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ACS_5513_Petabyte_Pirates_Project_Deliverable_1.ipynb), [Colab](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=C1gpOGy1QTGD&line=1&uniqifier=1)) | [Deliverable 1 Report](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md) |

The data processing stage consisted of data wrangling and exploratory data analysis. Features were chosen to continue to the final data frame based on the following data quality heuristics:

* **Missing Data**: cannot account for over 60% of values in each feature.
* **Value Frequency**: one feature value cannot account for greater than 90% of transactions.
* **Engineered Features:** all features that have been engineered, such as transformed with ordinal maps or one-hot encoding, will be removed to eliminate redundancy.

Applicable Data Report Sections:

* [Data Quality Summary](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#data-quality-summary)
* [Target Variable - SalePrice](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#target-variable--saleprice)

**Applicable Notebook Sections:**

* [Data Processing and EDA](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=C1gpOGy1QTGD&line=1&uniqifier=1)
* [Time Series Data Analysis](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=0Q81EyiZbO8E&line=3&uniqifier=1)
* [Categorical Exploratory Data Analysis](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=zQ34ltUVdnrR&line=1&uniqifier=1)

### Feature Sets

| Feature Set Name | Input Dataset(s) | Feature Engineering Tools/Scripts | Link to Report |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| df\_cleaned | Ames Housing (transformed during processing) | [acs\_5513\_petabyte\_pirates\_project\_deliverable\_1.py](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/acs_5513_petabyte_pirates_project_deliverable_1.py)  Jupyter Notebook: **Data Enrichment and Engineering** section ([GitHub](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ACS_5513_Petabyte_Pirates_Project_Deliverable_1.ipynb), [Colab](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=prSVCMuU_hvq&line=5&uniqifier=1)) | [Deliverable 1 Report](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md) |
| df\_model | Processed Dataset 1 | [acs\_5513\_petabyte\_pirates\_project\_deliverable\_2.py](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/acs_5513_petabyte_pirates_project_deliverable_2.py)  Jupyter Notebook: **Final Data Frame for Model** section ([GitHub](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ACS_5513_Petabyte_Pirates_Project_Deliverable_2.ipynb), [Colab](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1IndLhnFIcyskaO8MgSCWyfxUfZqR9HLm#scrollTo=zo1f92jNnXHG)) | n/a |

Numerical features were engineered based on their inherent characteristics, such as age, size and quality, binary (yes/no), and relative time when sold. Explanatory (or categorical) features were ordinally mapped based on their values, e.g. Ex (Excellent) = 5 and Po (Poor) = 1 (see [map](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=INN4YKxP5W-2&line=13&uniqifier=1)). Finally, nominal columns were one-hot encoded and evaluated for statistical significance. The final list of features was constructed using the following statistical heuristics:

* **Statistical Evaluation (Pearson)**: features that do not meet a correlation coefficient |r| >= 0.5 will be dropped from the final data frame.
* **One-Hot Encoding:** dummy features will be removed if they do not meet the bar for statistical significance as determined by the point-biserial correlation test.

Applicable Data Report Sections:

* [Individual Variables (Top Examples)](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#individual-variables-top-examples)
* [Variable ranking (numeric Pearson r with SalePrice)](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#variable-ranking-numeric-pearson-r-with-saleprice)
* [Individual Variables (Bottom Examples)](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#individual-variables-bottom-examples)
* [Relationship between Explanatory Variables and Target Variable](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513/blob/main/ames_data_report.md#relationship-between-explanatory-variables-and-target-variable)

Applicable Notebook Sections:

* [Data Enrichment and Engineering](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=prSVCMuU_hvq&line=5&uniqifier=1)
* [Final Output](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=qb7bRoSv_uuI&line=8&uniqifier=1)
* [Conducting Early Trials](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=xb0KY_ZulX54&line=3&uniqifier=1)

# Data Dictionary


See full, living data dictionary [here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zRmdRlc2efk0RiQ3xv9OARQlnGgF3sbfwbhnpNbcv7Y/edit?usp=sharing). Double click the cell in the ***feature\_and\_value\_description*** column (D) to expand the full description.

# Software Architecture Document

The solution will use two separate web applications deployed in their own web servers. The primary reason for this approach is to provide an isolated runtime environment for the model engine and the model data. This provides the maximum amount of memory for it to use for maintaining the model and performing its analysis.

The other reason to do this is to isolate the model from the end user directly. Instead of exposing the model directly, the model will expose an API that the front-end will use to make pricing requests or other model queries. This moves the query construction, user input validation, and user interface management to the front-end web application.

This is shown in the following diagram:

This diagram shows the separate web servers, each running a separate copy of Flask. The front-end web server supports the user interface, while the back-end web server supports the model.

The user interface is intended to provide a means for the user to request pricing queries and comparisons between multiple requests.

A screenshot of a computer

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

This user interface consists of a form for the user to input various characteristics which are mapped to the model features (either extracted or engineered features). The user interface validates all inputs, and if valid calls the pricing engine to predict a price given the user’s data. The result of that prediction, as well as the original data used, is retained in a table underneath the form. All columns of the results table are sortable, allowing the user to perform comparisons between multiple pricing requests.

# Model Reports

Model reports have been Memorialized in GitHub under data\_reports.

|  |
| --- |
| data\_reports % tree . ├── ames\_data\_report.md ├── images │   ├── catboost\_model.png │   ├── elastic\_netcv\_model.png │   ├── feature\_importance\_random\_forest.png │   ├── histgbr\_model.png │   ├── lightgbm\_model.png │   ├── linear\_regression\_model.png │   ├── model\_zoo\_all\_features.png │   ├── model\_zoo\_final\_features.png │   ├── neighborhood\_box\_plots.png │   ├── ridge\_model.png │   └── xgboost\_model.png └── models     ├── catboost\_model.md     ├── elastic\_netcv\_model.md     ├── histgbr\_model.md     ├── lightgbm\_model.md     ├── linear\_regression\_model.md     ├── model\_reports.md     ├── random\_forest\_regressor\_model.md     ├── ridge\_model.md     ├── stacking\_model.md     └── xgboost\_model.md  3 directories, 22 files |

# Exit Report

**Customer**: A Home Valuing Company Inc.

**Team Members**: Sean Miller, Dewayne Hafenstein, Farhan Hassan.

## Overview

The Petabyte Pirates team delivered a **full‑stack, production‑ready Machine Learning (ML) service** that predicts single‑family home sale prices in Ames, Iowa. The solution moves from raw CSV to live API in minutes and is designed so a business analyst-or even a curious homeowner-can obtain a data‑driven estimate without touching code.

## What We Built

* **Data ingestion & feature pipeline** - Pulls the public Ames Housing dataset from GitHub, cleans high‑missing columns, imputes numeric gaps, encodes categorical variables, and engineers domain‑specific interactions (e.g., *Overall Quality × Total Square Feet + Garage Impact*).
* **Model layer** - Evaluates nine algorithms (tree ensembles, linear baselines, stacking). A CatBoost model, tuned by cross‑validation, was chosen for deployment after landing **RMSE ≈ $ 20 k** and **R² ≈ 0.87-0.91** on unseen data.
* **Serving layer** - A lightweight Flask API loads the serialized model (.pkl) and exposes a */predict* endpoint.
* **Web UI** - A full-stack application built in flask that provides a clean form for users to enter property details and run instant “what‑if” scenarios. All predictions round‑trip in <1 second.

## Why It Matters

* **Speed** - Generates valuations in seconds vs. hours for manual comp analysis.
* **Consistency** - Removes human bias; identical inputs always yield identical outputs.
* **Extensibility** - Pipeline can ingest additional markets (CSV or API) with minimal code changes.
* **Transparency** - Feature importance charts embedded in the UI help non‑technical stakeholders understand key drivers.

This report walks through the business context, data journey, modeling decisions, architecture, benefits, lessons learned and recommended next steps to operationalize the service beyond a class project.

## Business Domain

**Industry:** Automated Valuation Models (AVMs) for Residential Property Technology (PropTech).

**Customer Profile**  
A Home Valuing Company Inc., monetizes real‑estate data by selling valuation and risk‑scoring software and APIs mortgage underwriters, appraisal firms and listing agents. They also provide consumer-facing software so homeowners can track their real estate over time. Their primary product returns “suggested list price” and confidence bands on demand; end‑users embed these calls in loan‑origination software, comparative‑market‑analysis tools, and homeowner dashboards.

**Market Landscape**  
The AVM space is dominated by Zillow Zestimate, Redfin Estimate and CoreLogic. Accuracy, explainability, and low latency are the three competitive levers. Regulators (e.g., Freddie Mac’s ACE+ PDR) now allow appraisal waivers if an AVM meets strict error tolerances, so a < $20 k RMSE can translate directly into reduced closing times and fees.

**Data Environment**  
Residential data is messy. Customers expect the vendor to abstract these quirks behind consistent APIs. Therefore, a robust feature‑engineering pipeline and clear data lineage are first‑class requirements. Every prediction must be reproducible and traceable back to raw assessor data.

**Why Ames**  
By proving we can train and deploy a high‑accuracy micro‑model on the Ames market, we derisk the company’s roadmap to spin up similar models for other mid‑sized metros. The project also creates a reference architecture (TDSP + CatBoost + Flask) that can be stamped out across regions with only data‑layer changes.

## Business Problem

Residential property valuation today remains a **time‑consuming, manually intensive** process.  Appraisers and listing agents rely on scattered MLS data, subjective comparable selection, and spreadsheet models that assume linear price drivers. The result:

* **Turn‑time drag** – Full appraisals add 5‑10 days and $500–$800 to transaction cost.
* **Inconsistent outcomes** – Two appraisers on the same house can differ by >$25 k.
* **Lost deals** – Undervalued listings sit; over‑valued homes require price cuts, eroding seller trust.

## Data Processing

| **Property** | **Value** |
| --- | --- |
| Raw file | AmesHousing.csv (Kaggle ID prevek18/ames-housing-dataset) |
| Observations | 2,930 rows (2,793 after outlier removal) |
| Raw Columns | 81 raw features (38 numeric, 43 categorical) |
| Target | SalePrice (USD) |
| Date range | 2006 – 2010 (7 months of 2010) |
| Granularity | One row per residential real-estate transaction |

**Data Cleaning**  
Cleaning the data was a multi-step process that was grounded in traditional data science methods.

* **Outlier Removal**: Applied **1.5×IQR** rule on SalePrice; 137 extreme points (<$3.5k and >$339k) removed.
* **Missing Values:** Any feature with >60% of values null were dropped.
* **Redundancy and Consistency:** Any feature with >90% clustered to one value were dropped.
* **Ordinal Mapping:** Features with clear delineation and ranking (e.g. Poor, Average, Excellent) were converted to numerical values.
* **One-Hot Encoding:** Nominal values were one-hot encoded.

**Feature Engineering**  
Our approach followed a three-pass loop: EDA 🡪 Prototype 🡪 Validation. Every engineered feature had measurable justification before entering the model

Pass 1 – features were hand-selected from common appraisal metrics, and were evaluated by their correlation to SalePrice, with a hard gate at |r| > 0.5 using the pearson correlation coefficient

* **Age Metrics:** HouseAge, RemodelAge, Age Buckets
* **Size and Quality Metrics:** Total Square Feet (comprising all individual square ft calcs), Total Baths, Imputed Number of Stories, Overall Quality x Size
* **Binary Features:** Calculating whether a feature was present or not (e.g. has basement, has fireplace)

Pass 2 – first-order interaction features and composite blends were applied to capture non-linearity. The same correlation gate of |r| > 0.5 was applied.

* **Qual x SF:** was initially our strongest engineered feature with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.89.
* **Qual x SF Plus Garage:** This feature bumped up to 0.902 correlation.
* **(Qual x SF Plus Garage) + (Garage Finish x Garage Area):** ended up being our strongest pre- and post-modeling feature at 0.906 Pearson correlation coefficient.

*Pass 3 – RandomForestRegressor was evaluated with all 107 shortlisted features and compared to model performance with the top-30 feature importances. The final model saw a slight reduction in R².*

| **Trial** | **RMSE** | **R²** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| All Shortlisted Features | 20,794 | 0.887 |
| Final Features | 20,651 | 0.866 |
| Delta | -143 | -0.021 |

In totality, after exploring 81 features, we trimmed to ~30 final features with minimal impact on error, improving simplicity and runtime. Neighborhood one‑hot variables collectively contributed ~1% importance and were removed to streamline the model.

## Modeling, Validation

Our modelling phase followed a “wide‑then‑focus” funnel: prototype many families quickly, score on consistent metrics, and double‑down on the top performers.

**Metric Choices**

| **Metric** | **Why We Chose It** | **Stakeholder Meaning** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RMSE | Penalizes large $$ errors, same units as target | “Average miss ≈ $20 k” |
| R² | Proportion of variance explained | “Model explains 87–91 % of price drivers” |
| CV RMSE σ | Stability across folds | “Confidence we won’t overfit” |

**Experiment Grid**

| **Family** | **Libraries** | **Key Hyper‑params** | **Tuned via** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Linear (OLS, Ridge, ElasticNetCV) | sklearn | α, l1\_ratio | GridSearchCV |
| Bagging | RandomForest | n\_estimators, max\_depth | Grid + OOB |
| Boosting | XGBoost, LightGBM, HistGBR | learning\_rate, depth | Bayesian opt |
| Categorical Boosting | CatBoost | iterations, depth | built‑in CV |
| Stacking | RF + XGB + Elastic meta | passthrough | 5‑fold CV |

### Validation Protocol

* **Train/Test Split** – 80/20, seed = 42.
* **Nested 5-Fold CV** – inside GridSearch for robust hyper-parameter choice.
* **Permutation Importance** – post-fit to detect noisy features
* **Residual Diagnostics** - Feature importance charts embedded in the UI help non‑technical stakeholders understand key drivers.

**Figure 11**

Model Evaluations and Leaderboard (Ranked High to Low)

A screenshot of a white table

AI-generated content may be incorrect.


## Final Model Selection

* **CatBoost** – 80/20, seed = 42.
* **Latency** – Low latency on render (<300ms).
* **Lean Model** – 0.5mb pickle files.
* **Stacking** – Retained as a power backup if backend infra supports it.

## Solution Architecture

**Figure 12**

Petabyte Pirates Software Architecture



| **Layer** | **Tech** | **Purpose** | **Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Browser | React + Vanilla JS | Collects user inputs, displays predicted price | Deployed to Heroku Hobby dyno |
| Front‑End Web Server | Flask (ACS5513‑Frontend) | Serves HTML/CSS and issues REST calls to back‑end | Port 80/443, handles CORS |
| Back‑End Web Server | Flask (ACS5513‑Backend) | Hosts /predict endpoint; loads CatBoost model | Port 5000, WSGI via gunicorn |
| ML Engine | CatBoost pkl + feature pipeline | Generates price | ~5 MB artifact loaded at app start |
| CI/CD | GitHub Actions → Heroku | Auto‑deploy on push to main | Test suite runs < 30 s |

### Request Flow

1. **User submits form** at acs5513-frontend-\*.
2. JS fetch() POSTs JSON to **/api/predict** on backend (acs5513-backend-\*).
3. Backend **validates & casts** inputs → Pandas DataFrame (1 row).
4. **Feature pipeline** applies same transforms used at training.
5. **CatBoost model** returns price + feature\_importance.
6. Response JSON streamed back; front‑end renders **“Suggested Price”** row in results table.

### Design Decisions

* **Flask everywhere**: one framework to learn, tiny memory footprint.
* **Separate front/back dynos**: isolates heavy CatBoost import; UI stays responsive during model warm‑up.
* **Heroku vs. Render/GCP**: free tier sunset pushed us to Hobby plan; still simplest CI path for class demo.
* **pkl on disk** vs. S3 model registry → reduces external dependencies; fine for <20 MB model.

## Benefits

### Company Benefit

Demonstrated a reproducible ML delivery pattern from data ingestion to engineered features to APIs with UI separation that can be reused for appraisal and pricing use‑cases; expanded in‑house expertise in ensemble modeling MLOps patterns evidenced in this project’s architecture and model comparison work.

### Customer Benefit

Reduced appraisal error risk by moving beyond simple regressions to ensembles that capture non‑linear interactions, potentially decreasing costly mispricing which can save up to $10,000+ for each house. Customer also benefits from having a lightweight UI and API that supports quick “what‑if” comparisons for housing prices.

## Learnings

### Project Execution

* **Agile cadence** – regular communications and iterative development was key to on-time delivery of both Data Science and Software Engineering tasks.
* **Clear swim‑lanes** – Feature Engineering and Data Modeling (Sean), Backend / Frontend / Architecture Engineering (Dewayne), and Model Evaluation and Data Interpretation (Farhan) kept roles and responsibilities clear.
* **CI discipline** – no “works‑on‑my‑machine” surprises during Heroku deploys.

### Data science / Engineering

* **Interaction > polynomial** – Domain‑guided combos (e.g., Qual×SF) beat brute‑force polynomial features while eliminating multi‑collinearity.
* **CatBoost resilience** – Handled 60 dummies + 30 numeric with minimal tuning, nearly matching stacked ensemble in RMSE.
* **Small data ≠ small compute** – 2.9k rows ballooned to 90 features; early dimensionality checks avoided “compute creep” in the final model.

### Domain

* **Quality codes trump size** – OverallQual explains >50% variance by itself; confirms appraisal intuition that finish trumps square footage.
* **Features “Priced-In”** – intra-neighborhood variance can be explained by a combination of nominal and numerical features and doesn’t require an explicit street address to render an accurate estimate.
* **Seasonality over-hyped** - Once quality + size captured, sale month added <1% lift; price decisions outweigh listing timing for Ames.

### Product

* **Model Size Matters** – While the team originally planned on providing a feature that would allow the user to see all price predictions from all models considered, we ran into size and latency limitations that rendered that infeasible.
* **UI is Everything** – Features should be logically grouped and ordered from most to least important.
* **Form Fatigue is Real** – Users don’t want to fill out 50 different fields to get a single price prediction that’s within +/- 3% of a prediction that can be rendered with less than half inputs
* **Python is Powerful** – Python can often be an overlooked technology for web applications in the face of Node.js.

### What's unique about this project, specific challenges

* **GarageYrBlt leakage** – NaNs on garage‑less homes excluded 159 low‑price rows; fixed with conditional impute (YearBuilt); however, not considering homes without a garage still resulted in a near-identical error profile.
* **Heroku cold‑starts** – CatBoost load (12 MB) can cause 6 second spin‑up.

### Links

* [Link to GitHub](https://github.com/dewayneh57/ACS5513)
* [Link to Web App](https://acs5513-frontend-e91ce80def8f.herokuapp.com/)
* [Link to Deliverable 1 Colab Notebook](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/10mTYa4TVJd4LEYcQDxOxoOGWro5ZIR_D#scrollTo=9PM7rTW_fxfP)
* [Link to Deliverable 2 Colab Notebook](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1IndLhnFIcyskaO8MgSCWyfxUfZqR9HLm#scrollTo=JrYQCZoqd7yQ)
* [Link to Deliverable 3 Colab Notebook](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1yQO1k1LBjudyjAgl6xLqTkEaf1TojZ9f?usp=sharing)

### Next Steps

* Updated data & enrichment with external signals: school ratings, interest rates/unemployment, crime/walkability, expected to further reduce residual error. **Owner**: Data Science.
* Model monitoring: continue logging prediction requests to track drift and trigger retraining on schedule (leveraging the existing request logging). **Owner**: ML Engineering.
* Deployment hardening: containerize API/UI, add autoscaling and access controls, and integrate CI/CD (aligned with the two‑service architecture). **Owner**: Platform Engineering.
* Usability iterations: refine the 11‑field UI, add side‑by‑side scenario comparison exports. **Owner**: App Team.